

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,647	10/13/2000	Walter Hans Meissner	2925-0438P	7894
30594	7590 06/30/2005	•	EXAMINER	
•	DICKEY & PIERCE	EDELMAN, BRADLEY E		
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2153	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	0	٠	
	۰		
L			

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/689,647	MEISSNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bradley Edelman	2153	

Advisory Action	09/689,647 MEISSNER ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bradley Edelman	2153					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, may	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);					
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	•	jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8, 10-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
		$\neg Q$ 10	r 11				

Gradley rollinger

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added claim 19 adds features that will require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11.

does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner does not agree with Applicant's argument that Glick teaches including a pointer of a next network layer in a current net work layer, while the claimed invention recites including an address of a communication network layer in a message of a subsequent network layer, which is contrary to Glick. Primarily, the claims do not clearly state whether the "subsequent" network layer is higher up in the stack (i.e. closer to the application layer protocol), or lower in the stack (i.e. closer to the physical layer). Therefore the claim can be read either way and Glick reads on the claim. To clarify the claim language, Examiner recommends including within the claim a frame of reference indicating whether "subsequent" refers to a higher level protocol layer or a lower level protocol layer.

Examiner also does not agree with Applicant's assertion that Glick does not disclose the "variables and methods" recited in claim 15. The terms "variables" and "methods" are taught by Glick, which describes "data structures" which include flags and pointers and instructions - all of which can be considered "variables" and "methods." See col. 8, lines 1-13.